

02-16-10

HON. PAUL PAPAIK

FILED 10 FEB 22 12 08 USDC-ORP

RE: CASE NO. 3:10-CV-04-PK

I AM PLAINTIFF IN THE ABOVE LISTED CASE NUMBER. I AM WRITING IN REGARDS TO A CONCERN OF MINE. IN THE 42 U.S.C. §1983 PACKETS DISTRIBUTED, THERE, IN THE BODY OF THOSE PACKETS, IS NO REFERENCE TO "JURISDICTION". I, OF COURSE FOLLOWED THE PRO SE PACKET BUT AM CONCERNED THAT THE COURT OR OTHER PARTIES MIGHT VIEW THIS AS A DEFECT ON THE FACE OF MY CLAIM. SO, THE QUESTION I HAVE IS: WILL THE COURTS NEED ME TO AMEND MY COMPLAINT TO INCLUDE JURISDICTION, OR HAVE I SATISFIED JURISDICTION BY ANSWERING THAT THIS SUIT IS BASED ON JURISDICTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. 1331 ON MY INITIAL

1 JS 447 (CIVIL COVER SHEET)

2
3 I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME
4 AND APOLOGIZE FOR ANY INCONVEN-
5 TENCE CAUSED BY THIS LETTER.

6 SINCERELY,
7 PLAINTIFF

8 CHRISTIAN J. SMITH
9 12657711 / STCL
10 111 STANTON BLVD
11 ONTARIO, ORE. 97141
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24